Avian H5N1 Flu Deaths are Marching Forward
(The Beat Goes On)
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Before the year 2015, avian H5N1 killed nearly 60% of poultry workers and others who became infected through close contact with infected poultry. Fortunately, the virus was rarely transmissible among humans. From 2015 to 2020 avian H5N1 fatalities in humans had almost disappeared, since the strains from nature that appeared in poultry markets were no longer deadly to humans. They were, so to speak, “defanged.” We thought we were finished with H5N1 avian flu virus. We thought we were in a good place. (All three of the above links open the same document.)

The June 8, 2023 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists article “Once ‘defanged,’ H5N1 bird flu is gaining teeth again” reported a new threat to birds and mammals, a deadly and highly transmissible avian H5N1 flu virus (the new avian flu virus, NAFV). Worldwide, this virus is responsible for the death of and purposeful culling of nearly a billion poultry birds; and according to one estimate, the deaths of 10 million wild birds. Recent deaths of large numbers of birds and mammals who otherwise would be alive is alarming and sad.

The focus of the just cited Bulletin article is 2021, 2022, and early-2023. We are now in the late months of 2023. This updated article is an attempt to bring us up to date for the rapidly changing situation surrounding the NAFV.

Could birds become extinct?

As reported in the Guardian in a March 2023 article, Peru scientists have

“counted the deaths of at least 63,000 sea birds...many more can be seen strewn along the country’s coastline...Scientists estimate the true number of bird flu deaths is probably much higher.”

Observed wild-bird deaths are expected to be vast underestimates since most wild birds will die unobserved and uncounted. Worldwide, the number of NAFV deaths of birds is expected to be very large.

One very interesting analysis of wild-bird deaths from the Netherlands is reported in another Guardian article where scientists attempt to take underestimates into account.

“[O]f the approximate 8,000 sandwich terns that died in the Netherlands, only a handful are included in the official numbers — in this case a more than 200x difference between reported numbers and observed. The lack of appreciation for the scale of wild bird mortality is concerning as there may be species/population level ramifications.”
A quantitative estimate of bird deaths is reported in a recent *Nature* article:

“The semiannual estimate of confirmed HPAI H5 cases in wild birds (predominantly dead birds) peaked at 34,000 during the second half of 2021, although in many instances the number of wild-bird cases reported to WOAH/FAO includes only birds tested and positive for HPAI and is therefore a substantial underestimate.”

This article reports 34,000 estimated deaths for the second half of 2021. Assuming the same number of deaths in each half year from the beginning of the year 2000 until the end of 2023 yields 8 half-years x 34,000 deaths/half year = 272,000 deaths of wild birds.

To estimate the actual numbers of deaths, use the “200x difference between reported numbers and observed.” Actual deaths are then estimated to be 200 x 272,000 = 54.4 million dead birds, where most would be alive today if they hadn’t been killed by NAFV.

Of course, this calculation employed shaky numbers throughout, so the result is uncertain but likely within a factor of ten. Nevertheless, carrying out the calculation illustrates that very many birds have died from NAFV. And more will die in the future as “the beat goes on.”

A search of the literature conducted in early November 2023 from DuckDuckGo and from PubMed has found no additional estimates of deaths.

According to the *National Geographic*, there are between 50 billion and 430 billion birds on Earth. For a calculation using the least favorable denominator number, 50 billion, the likelihood of extinction of all wild birds is $54.4 \times 10^9 / 50 \times 10^9 = 1.09 \times 10^{-3}$ or 0.109%. While 0.109% is low enough to make it unlikely that all birds would become extinct, we should think about what species of birds might be at risk of extinction.

Meat- and fish-eating birds such as condors, eagles, hawks, and vultures are at greater risk of contracting the new avian flu virus, and some species may be at risk of extinction. For example, there is now an effort to vaccinate California condors to protect them from extinction. The vaccine was first tested successfully in black vultures; a species related to California condors. It is now being tested condors.

“Condors are social creatures. They roost in groups and gather in hungry hoards to devour decaying animal corpses, sharing saliva and pooping everywhere — ideal conditions for the disease to spread... While vaccines for HPAI [highly pathogenic avian influenza] are used in some other nations, U.S. health officials have never authorized vaccination for any animal in the country — not even poultry — for reasons ranging from practical to political. But in the face of a deadly threat to one of the country’s most endangered species, condor advocates hoped they could convince the USDA to make an unprecedented exception... H5N1 is different because it appears to kill birds slightly slower, giving them time to transport the disease within a wider radius... Erik Karlsson, a virologist at the Pasteur Institute in Cambodia said that infected birds are “dead birds flying spreading the disease before succumbing to it.” Hopefully condors will receive a strong immune boost from the vaccines.”

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will determine how long the antibodies last. It is possible the condors might need to be re-vaccinated every year or so, a daunting task. The concept of “dead bird flying spreading the disease before succumbing to it” can explain why birds and seashore mammals in distant locations such as the Galapagos Islands and Antarctica, and inland mammals have died from NAFV.
Deaths of mammals

According to the CDC, in a June 30, 2023 updated report the estimates of the number of land mammals infected with the NAFV has risen from 30 different species to 196 different species in 26 U.S. states or territories sparking outbreaks with thousands of deaths of mammals such as seals that reside at times at the edge of sea and land. In April 2023, a many-authored Emerging Infectious Diseases article reported the deaths of seals along the New England coast. The lead author, Wendy Puryear, is a virologist at The Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine at Tufts University in the Department of Infectious Disease and Global Health. Her research interests focus on epidemiology, evolution, and adaptation of wildlife diseases.

“As of January 2023, H5N1 infections in mammals have been primarily attributed to consuming infected prey, without evidence of further transmission among mammals. We report an HPAI A(H5N1) virus outbreak among New England harbor and gray seals that was concurrent with a wave of avian infections in the region, resulting in a seal unusual mortality event (UME); evidence of mammal adaptation existed in a small subset of seals. Harbor (Phoca vitulina) and gray (Halichoerus grypus) seals in the North Atlantic are known to be affected by avian influenza A virus and have experienced previous outbreaks involving seal-to-seal transmission. Those seal species represent a pathway for adaptation of avian influenza A virus to mammal hosts that is a recurring event in nature and has implications for human health. Transmission from wild birds to seals was evident for distinct HPAI H5N1 lineages in this investigation and likely occurred through environmental transmission of shed virus. Viruses were not likely acquired by seals through predation or scavenging of infected animals, because birds are not a typical food source for harbor or gray seals. Data does not support seal-to-seal transmission as a primary route of infection. If individual bird–seal spillover events represent the primary transmission route, the associated seal UME suggests that transmission occurred frequently and had a low seal species barrier.”

More than 300 seals died (see the Yale School of the Environment article below).

In an interview with the Journal of the American Medical Association. Jonathan Runstadler suggests another way that seals may be transmitting virus “It’s certainly plausible that [seals] are spreading virus by droplet or aerosol to each other...They’re having interactions at close range, and a lot of vocalizations.” Runstadler is also at The Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine at Tufts University in the Department of Infectious Disease and Global Health.

In May 4, 2023 article by Andrew S. Lewis published by the Yale School of the Environment titled Avian Flu Outbreaks in Marine Mammals Mark New Era for Deadly Virus expands the discussion of the seal unusual mortality event.

“By the end of July, more than 330 harbor and gray seals had died from the virus — enough that the National Marine Fisheries Service immediately declared an “unusual mortality event”...Deborah Fauquier, a veterinary medical officer with the National Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Program, says that, as of now, it appears that last summer’s outbreak of H5N1 in Maine resulted from bird-to-seal transmission, not mammal to mammal. “We’ve been continually testing, and we haven’t seen a positive since July 15 [2022],” Fauquier adds, “If no new cases emerge during this year’s pupping season, which is underway, Fauquier and her colleagues...recommend closing the unusual mortality event (UME) declaration, which would stop active investigation by the program’s working group, as well as some federal funding.” But, Fauquier says, “we’ll continue to monitor even if the UME closes, because we want to make sure that, if it becomes seal-to-seal, we’ll know that right away.”

Importantly, Fauquier observes “that there have not been any positive H5N1 infections in Maine seals so far this year.” The last new avian flu virus infections of seals were seen in July 2022. However, she ends with words of caution “we’ll continue to monitor even if the UME closes, because we want to make sure
that, if it becomes seal-to-seal, we’ll know that right away.” The fact that no seal deaths had occurred is an extremely positive development, but an explanation was not given in the Yale article.

We are now in the later months of 2023. In an article from NOAA Fisheries, there is a single graph where the green bars when summed up for 2023 yields 110 seals having died in 2023 from “seal strandings.” I am assuming “seal strandings” mostly means seal deaths from NAFV. So, seals are still dying from the virus but in smaller numbers than the more than 330 harbor and gray seals that had died from the virus in July 2022.

According to the CDC, in a June 30, 2023 updated report the estimates of the number of land mammals infected with the new avian flu virus has risen to 196 different species in 26 states or territories.

“Sporadic HPAI A(H5N1) virus infections of mammals have been reported since 2003 during HPAI A(H5N1) virus outbreaks in poultry or wild birds. HPAI A(H5) viruses are known to occasionally infect mammals that eat (presumably infected) birds or poultry and mammals that are exposed to environments with a high concentration of virus.

In the United States, from May 2022 to June 27, 2023, USDA APHIS reported HPAI A(H5N1) virus detections in 196 mammals of different species in 26 states or territories. Globally, sporadic HPAI A(H5N1) virus infections have been reported in multiple mammalian species in many countries; for example, in farmed mink in Spain, harbor and grey seals in the United States, sea lions in Peru and Chile, a cat in France and other countries, and foxes in North America and Europe. The reports of HPAI A(H5N1) virus infections in mammals are not surprising given the widespread outbreaks of HPAI A(H5N1) virus infections in wild birds... Genetic data have revealed that when some mammals are infected with HPAI A(H5N1) virus, the virus may undergo intra-host evolution resulting in genetic changes that allow the virus to replicate more efficiently in the lower respiratory tract.

Although these genetic changes may impact mammalian disease outcome, they have not been associated with changes to receptor binding specificity or enhanced transmissibility of the virus to humans. HPAI A(H5N1) viruses do not currently have an ability to easily infect and bind to α2,6-linked sialic acid receptors that are in the human upper respiratory tract, which would be needed to increase the risk of transmission to people.”

In its Advice to countries from FAO, WHO, WOAH on avian influenza outbreaks in animals, the species of mammals that have been infected with NAFV are listed.

“The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the World Health Organization (WHO), and the World Organisation for Animal Health (WOAH) are urging countries to work together across sectors to save as many animals as possible and to protect people. Avian influenza viruses normally spread among birds, but the increasing number of H5N1 avian influenza detections among mammals—which are biologically closer to humans than birds are—raises concern that the virus might adapt to infect humans more easily...

Recently, there have been increasing reports of deadly outbreaks among mammals also caused by influenza A(H5)—including influenza A(H5N1)—viruses. 10 countries across three continents have reported outbreaks in mammals to WOAH since 2022...

Species of mammals known to be infected with A(H5N1) clade 2.3.4.4b viruses to date: ferret, mink, European otter, North American river otter, marine otter, European badger, skunk, Virginia opossum, Amur leopard, Amur tiger, mountain lion, fisher, European polecat, lynx, bobcat, domestic cat, red fox, coyote, raccoon, raccoon dog, South American bush dog, American black bear, brown bear, grizzly bear, Kodiak bear, domestic pig (serology only), grey seal, harbour seal, fur seal, sea lion, porpoise, bottlenose dolphin, short-beaked common dolphin, white sided dolphin, dogs, Japanese raccoon dogs, Beech marten, Caspian seals, Asiatic black bear, Chilean dolphin, Burmeister’s porpoise.”
As summarized on August 9, 2023 by The Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy (CIDRAP) there have been 200 reported NAFV infections in the United States, the latest being a mountain lion in the state of Montana detected on August 4 2023. But Montana is not along the seacoast. How did the mountain lion become infected? We don’t really know. Was it infected from eating infected birds who have travelled inland from the seacoast: “dead birds flying.” For whatever reasons, infected mammals are found far inland, and the numbers of species and the number of animal deaths keep growing. The beat goes on.

For a U.S. list of 200 infections of NAFV mammals including geographic location dating back to the year 2022, see the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) list.

Twenty-eight domestic cats in Poland have tested positive for NAFV.

“Since 19 June 2023 the General Veterinary Inspectorate has begun to receive the first unofficial information from different parts of the country about cat infections with an agent of unknown aetiology. The infections had a high mortality rate.”

Since these were domestic cats living in “different parts of the country,” it is likely they were infected from eating NAFV infected food purchased by the cat owners.

Do U.S. pet owners need to worry about feeding their pets canned or dried dog and cat food? From the article titled An Inside Look at How Canned Dog Food is Made by Nancy Kerns in Whole Foods Journal, the ingredients and the final canned food is heat sterilized several times, which would kill viruses and bacteria. Kerns visited three cat and dog food canning facilities in the U.S. It is unlikely that dried food can contain live virus since it is baked and dried, and likely sterilized previously as well.

Uncooked foods that are becoming popular to feed dogs in the U. S. is another story. There needs to be very careful selection of food sources to ensure that they are NAFV free.

What is the danger to humans?

Will the new avian flu virus kill us as well? So far, there have been almost no human fatalities. A June 28 2023 study published in Nature by several Scottish authors identifies a single protein called BTN3A3 that protects humans and some other primates from the deadly and highly transmissible avian H5N1 flu virus. The study is authored by more than a dozen scientists mostly from Scotland. BTN3A3 was discovered originally as a potential therapeutic target for breast cancer.

From the Nature article, below is a shortened highly redacted version of the DISCUSSION section that outlines the protective properties of the BTN3A3 protein and how the H5N1 virus might evade BTN3A3 to infect humans from a so-called “spillover” event. Spillover is the word used to indicate that an avian flu virus has mutated to now infect humans. Spillover events are what we must prevent.

“Our study reveals that human BTN3A3 is a powerful barrier for the replication of avian IAVs [influenza A viruses] but not human IAVs...The anti-avian IAV properties of BTN3A3 arose in primates...We showed that BTN3A3 interferes mostly with avian IAV vRNA replication, at the early stages of the virus life cycle...
Transmission of these viruses within farmed animal populations provide them with the opportunity to further adapt to the mammalian host, in addition to provide further opportunities for onwards transmission [spillover] to humans. Hence, H5N1 viruses with a BTN3A3-resistant genotype may be better poised in the future to adapt to humans.

Global efforts during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and over the years for IAV infections have shown that surveillance based on virus genomic sequences can be a useful tool not only to provide insight into disease spread and epidemiology, but also for the early identification of viruses with undesirable phenotypic traits from a public health perspective. The BTN3A3-resistant genotype is one of the determinants associated with avian IAV spillover in humans that should be considered in risk-assessment frameworks.

The following more technical quote from the Nature article in the BTN3A3 evolution section illustrates the effort by the authors who carried out the study to find the origin of the BTN3A3 gene in varies primate species.

“We then examined the origin of anti-avian IAV activity in the BTN3 gene family. Phylogenetic analysis of the BTN3A genes of the Haplorrhini suborder (tarsier, monkeys, apes and humans) indicated that BTN3A1–3 originated through two successive duplications after the split between the new world monkey lineage (Platyrrhini) and the old world monkey and ape lineage (Catarrhini) around 40–44 million years ago...Humans, chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes), gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla), orangutans (Pongo abelii), macaques (Macaca mulatta) and green monkeys (Chlorocebus sabaeus) all have at least one BTN3A1 or BTN3A3 gene capable of inhibiting Mallard viral replication...The other primate group does not make the protein. The evolutionary history of these genes could explain the many gains and/or losses of antiviral function.”

So, an accident of genome evolution that occurred millions of years ago, an extraordinary bit of luck, protects us from the new avian flu virus, at least for now.

In a June 2023 article published online by Laura Graf and Peter Staeheli largely confirms the study by the Scottish group and identifies a second protein, MX1. Both BTN3A3 and MX1 interfere with an early step of the viral replication cycle. The Graf and Staeheli article argues why we must be alert to identifying in advance potential spillover events, “Only by understanding the diversity of adaptive mutations that viruses must acquire for successful transmission into the human population will we be able to identify, in a timely manner, zoonotic IAVs that have pandemic potential.”

From January 2022 to June 29, 2023, thirteen cases of NAFV in people including two deaths have been reported globally according to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Clearly, the pandemic in birds and mammals is not over, even though the number of non-poultry outbreaks has decreased substantially, which may be highly encouraging even though we don’t yet understand the cause or consequences of the reduction.

Especially if you live near the seashore, observe shore birds to see if they are behaving normally going about their daily business. More than one dead bird or strangely behaving birds should be reported to local health authorities.